UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,512	10/09/2001	Toru Mineyama	09812.0172-00000	6341
Provided to the second			EXAMINER	
			VAN BRAMER, JOHN W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/973,512	MINEYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John Van Bramer	3622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 April 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-8 and 10-16 is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	s/are withdrawn from considera	ation.			
Application Papers		•			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2001 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the content of	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ c to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ⊠ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	18) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I consisting of Claims 1 and 9 in the reply filed on April 26, 2007 is acknowledged. Thus, Claims 2-8, and 10-16 are withdrawn from further prosecution.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on October 10, 2000. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Japan 2000-309796 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3622

4. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Remillard (U.S. Patent Number: 5,404,393) in view of Bull et al. (U.S. Patent Number: 5,995,943)

Claims 1: Remillard discloses a server operational expenses collecting method for a server which transmits via the Internet an electronic program guide to a terminal apparatus operated by a user, said server:

- a. Generating customer analysis information on the basis of personal information of said user inputted from said terminal apparatus and program viewing log information about a program viewed by said user on said terminal apparatus.
 (Col 2, lines 6-24; Col 2, lines 44-62; Col 4, line 57 through Col 5, line 6; Col 5, line 55 through Col 6, line 21)
- b. Generating a second electronic program guide by reorganizing a first electronic program guide in accordance with the preference of said user on the basis of the generated customer analysis information. (Col 2, line 46 through Col 3, line 20;
 Col 5, line 55 through Col 6, line 21; and Col 7, lines 35-63)
- c. Providing said generated customer analysis information to an advertiser who practices an advertising campaign to said terminal apparatus. (Col 6, lines 9-21) While Remillard does not specifically state that it collects expenses in a predetermined amount for the provision of customer analysis information from the advertiser, the analogous art of Bull et al. (U.S. Patent Number: 5,995,943) teaches that it is well known to charge advertisers for providing them with such information

Application/Control Number: 09/973,512 Page 4

Art Unit: 3622

(Col 5, line 59 through Col 6, line 19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the customer analysis information to the advertiser for a fee. One would have been motivated to do so in order to generate a revenue stream that helps to offset the cost of monitoring and gathering such information.

Claim 9: Remillard discloses a server operational expenses collecting apparatus for a server which transmits via the Internet an electronic program guide to a terminal apparatus operated by a user, said server:

- a. Generating customer analysis information on the basis of personal information of said user inputted from said terminal apparatus and program viewing log information about a program viewed by said user on said terminal apparatus.
 (Col 2, lines 6-24; Col 2, lines 44-62; Col 4, line 57 through Col 5, line 6; Col 5, line 55 through Col 6, line 21)
- b. Generating a second electronic program guide by reorganizing a first electronic program guide in accordance with the preference of said user on the basis of the generated customer analysis information. (Col 2, line 46 through Col 3, line 20; Col 5, line 55 through Col 6, line 21; and Col 7, lines 35-63)
- c. Providing said generated customer analysis information to an advertiser who
 practices an advertising campaign to said terminal apparatus. (Col 6, lines 9-21)
 While Remillard does not specifically state that it collects expenses in a
 predetermined amount for the provision of customer analysis information from the

Application/Control Number: 09/973,512 Page 5

Art Unit: 3622

advertiser, the analogous art of Bull et al. (U.S. Patent Number: 5,995,943) teaches that it is well known to charge advertisers for providing them with such information (Col 5, line 59 through Col 6, line 19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the customer analysis information to the advertiser for a fee. One would have been motivated to do so in order to generate a revenue stream that helps to offset the cost of monitoring and gathering such information.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Van Bramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-8198. The examiner can normally be reached on 6am - 4pm Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

J/3 ivb

> ERIC W. STAMBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 6